

To Reduce GUM's Recommendations to Practice

Structure of Contributions to Combined Standard Uncerteinty

"Uncertainty Concepts in Thermo-Physical Measurement Methods"

Wolfgang HOHENAUER,

AIT Austrian Institute of Technology GmbH; A-1210 Wien Giefinggasse 2 University of Applied Science – Technikum Wien, -1200 Wien, Höchstättplatz 5

wolfgang.hohenauer@ait.ac.at: http://phox.at



Content

- Motivation
- GUM's Recommendations
- Extension of GUM's Recommendations Generalized Construction of an Uncertainty Model - a Proposal
- Application(s)
 - Laser Flash Method
 - Push Rod Dilatometry
 - Dynamic Scanning Calorimetry
- Summary



... Basics and Ideal Conditions





... Ideal Conditions ???













... $1\mathbb{D}$, Adiabatic Boundries, δ - shaped Heat Impact, Series Expansion O(1)... Adiabatic Model – PARKER Interpretation





... Experimantal Reality: e.g. De-Binding and Sintering of Fe 12Cu



7



Consequences:

... from these experiences

- Results of a measurement are determined from
 - Sample effects during the measurement
 - Equipment performance
 - Examining Model restrictions, constraints, boundaries …
- <u>THUS</u>: Uncertainty considerations have to capture
 - Sample related effects
 - Equipment related effects
 - Model related effects
- How to formulate a sufficient UNCERTAINTY CONCEPT ???
- \Rightarrow ENV 13005 GUM



GUM's Recommendations *) - Type A

... Evaluation of standard uncertainty by <u>statistical analysis of a series of observations</u>

- ... Best estimate of a the result of the repeated measurement of a *quantity* Q with n statistically independent obesrevations q_k is the arithmetic mean \bar{q}
- ... Best estimate of the experimental standard deviation of the underlying probability distribution is the positive square root of the statistic variance $s^2(q_k)$
- Best estimate of the uncertainty of the arithmetic mean \bar{q} of a series of *n* indpendent observations q_k is the positive square root of the experimental standard deviation of the mean
- .. The standard uncertainty of an output estimate *y* given from the model function $y = f(x_1, ..., x_N)$ of *N* input quantities $\{x_1, ..., x_N\}$ is calculated from



$$s(q_k) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n (q_k - \overline{q})^2}$$

$$u(\overline{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot s(q_k) := u(x_i)$$

$$u_c^2(y) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 \cdot u^2(x_i)$$



GUM's Recommendations *) - Type B

... Evaluation of standard uncertainty by <u>scientific judgement</u> - based on <u>all available information</u>

... 50% chance to measure the the estimate x_i of an input quantity X_i within the symmetric intervall $[a_i / a_+]$.

The width of the intervall $[a_{\perp}/a_{\perp}]$ is $a = (a_{\perp} + a_{\perp})/2$.

... 66% chance to measure the the estimate x_i of an input quantity X_i within the symmetric intervall $[a_i / a_+]$.

The width of the intervall $[a_{\perp}/a_{\perp}]$ is $a = (a_{\perp} + a_{\perp})/2$.

90% chance to measure the the estimate x_i of an input quantity X_i within the intervall $[b_2 / b_+]$. No information about the distribution of the input quantity X_i in $[b_2 / b_+]$ available $u(x_i) = 1,48 a$

$$u(x_i) = a$$

$$u^{2}(x_{i}) = (b_{+} - b_{-})^{2}/12$$

so far $b = (b_{+} - b_{-})/2$
 $u^{2}(x_{i}) = b^{2}/3$

EXTENSION of GUM's Recommendations



... Proposal for a Generalized Construction of an Uncertainty Model

- ACCEPT the arithmetic mean
 ... of a series of independent measurements is the best estimate of any input quantity
- CONSIDER dues to the uncertainty from their causation
 - sample related effects
 - equipment related effects
 - model related effects

ESTABLISH a construction principle

... to formulate uncertainty models considering equipment and/or model related effects

- ADD ZERO-QUANTITIES C_i to the mean
 - \dots as corrections to the arithmetic mean representing effects
 - \ldots e.g. traceable back to the equipment or to the examining model.
 - ATTRIBUTE $u(C_j)$ as the uncertainty of any specific correction C_j

$$q = \frac{1}{m} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{m} q_k + \sum C_j \qquad C_j = 0 \forall j; u(C_j) \neq 0$$

$$u_c^2(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 \cdot u^2(x_i) + \sum u^2(C_j)$$



 $a(T) \cong$

based on theoretical models as - Parker, Clark, Taylor, Cowan - Cape & Lehmann - Radiation model - Finite heat pulse length

2000

1500

 $\ln(1/4) h^2(T)$

theoretical adiabatic curve

experimental curve

2500

3000

3500

 $\pi^2 = t_{1/2}(T)$

Applications

... Laser Flash Method

$$Y = f(X_1, X_2, ..., X_{i_1}, ..., X_N)$$

$$y = f(q_1, q_2, ..., q_{i_1}, ..., q_N)$$

$$\overline{q} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_k$$

$$s(q_k) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n (q_k - \overline{q})^2}$$
$$u(\overline{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot s(q_k) := u(x_i)$$

$$a(T) = \frac{-\ln(1/4)}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{h^2}{t_{1/2}}$$

$$a = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k + \sum_{j=1}^{m} C_j ; \quad C_j := 0 \forall j$$







ESU: <u>E</u>quipment <u>Specific Uncertainty</u> *SSU*: <u>Sample Specific Uncertainty</u> *MSU*: <u>Model Specific Uncertainty</u>

elled curve

1000

Zeit /ms

heat pulse

 $\Delta T(t_{12})$

-500

0

500

t 1/2

= ΔT_{∞}

 ΔT_{max}

-1000

1500

$$ESU^{2}(a) = a^{2} \cdot \left[\frac{4}{h^{2}} \cdot u^{2}(h) - \left(\frac{a \cdot \pi^{2}}{\ln(1/4) \cdot h^{2}}\right)^{2} \cdot u^{2}(t_{1/2})\right]$$
$$SSU^{2}(a) = s^{2}(a) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_{k} - \overline{a})^{2}}{n \cdot (n-1)}$$
$$MSU^{2}(a) \dots from individual T(t) response$$



... Laser Flash Method: ESU *)





... Laser Flash Method: MSU





... Laser Flash Method: $u_c(a)$ from a Graphite reference material



T / °C



... Laser Flash Method: Conclusions

- 2 Zero-quantities C_j are added ... C_E : equipment related
 - $\ldots C_M$: model related
- The arithmetic mean ... remains to be the best estimate of the measurement result
- 3 dues to the uncertainty from their causation
 - SSU: sample related effects
 - ESU: equipment related effects
 - MSU: model related effects
- Construction principle $u_c^2(a) = ESU^2(a) + SSV^2(a) + MSU^2(a)$
 - SSU: from standard deviation of a series of measurements
 - ESU: from equipment performance parameters
 - MSU: from specifics of the transient temperature response of each shot
 ... is time consuming therefor

... BUT: it cannot be neglected - even NOT in case of optimum measurement conditions

• SSU, ESU, MSU – often do NOT scale from the same magnitude

AUSTRIAN INSTITUTE **Applications** ... Push Rod Dilatometry $\frac{\Delta L_R(T)}{L_{0:R}}$ $P_R(T)$ $K_{R}(T) =$ $Y = f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_N)$ $L_{0\cdot R}$ $y = f(q_1, q_2, ..., q_i, ..., q_N)$ $+\frac{K(T)}{L_0}$ $\Delta L(T)$ $=\frac{P(T)}{L_0}$ K Pt;Off Set(T) DI/10 Pt(2,0E-02 $\begin{pmatrix} \Delta L/L_0 \\ CTE \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n q_k + \sum_{j=1}^m C_j ; \quad C_j \coloneqq 0 \forall j$ $\overline{q} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_k$ 1,5E-02 ער/ר⁰/[] 1,0E-02 $s(q_k) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n (q_k - \overline{q})^2}$ 5,0E-03 $C_s := 0$... Correction from <u>Sample Specific Effects</u> $u(\overline{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot s(q_k) := u(x_i)$ 0,0E+00 $C_E := 0 \dots Correction from \underline{E}quipment Specific Effects$ 1200 1400 1000 -5,0E-03 T/℃ $u_c^2(y) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 \cdot u^2(x_i) \qquad \qquad u_c^2 \left(\frac{\Delta L/L_0}{CTE}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 \cdot u^2(x_i) + u^2(C_s) + u^2(C_E)$ ESU: Equipment Specific Uncertainty SSU: Sample Specific Uncertainty MSU: Model Specific Uncertainty $MSU^2(x_i)$ $+SSV^{2}(x_{i})+ESU^{2}(x_{i})$ $u_{a}^{2}(x_{i}) =$ $ESU^{2}|_{\Delta L/L_{0}} \cong \left(\frac{10^{-6}/m}{L_{0}/m}\right)^{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta L(T)}{L_{0}}\right)^{2} \cong \left(10^{-3}\right)^{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta L(T)}{L_{0}}\right)^{2}$ $\left| MSU^{2} \right|_{CTE} = \frac{1}{\Delta T^{2}} \cdot MSU^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta L_{R}}{L_{0,R}} \right) \right| \qquad SSU^{2} \left|_{CTE} = \frac{1}{\Delta T^{2}} \cdot s^{2} \left(\frac{P_{S}}{L_{0,R}} \right) \right|$ $\left| ESU^2 \right|_{CTE} = \frac{1}{\Lambda T^2} \cdot \left[ESU^2 \right|_{\Delta L/L_0} + CTE_R^2 \cdot u_C^2(\Delta T) \right] \cong \frac{1}{\Lambda T^2} \cdot \left[\left(10^{-3} \right)^2 \cdot CTE_S^2(T) + CTE_R^2(T) \cdot u_C^2(\Delta T) \right]$

















$$k = \frac{\Delta CTE}{\Delta T}; \ d = CTE_L - \frac{CTE_R - CTE_L}{T_R - T_L} \cdot T_L = CTE_L - \frac{\Delta CTE}{\Delta T} \cdot T_L$$

$$g_{CTE}(T) = \frac{\Delta CTE}{\Delta T} \cdot T + CTE_L - \frac{\Delta CTE}{\Delta T} \cdot T_L$$

$$g_{CTE}(T) = \frac{\Delta CTE}{\Delta T} \cdot (T - T_L) + CTE_L$$

$$Definition 1: \ \Delta T = (T_R - T_L)$$

$$Definition 2: (T - T_L) = \kappa \cdot \Delta T; \ \kappa \in [0, 1]$$

$$g_{CTE}(T) = \kappa \cdot \Delta CTE + CTE_L$$

$$u_c^2(g_{CTE}(T)) = \sum \left(\frac{\partial g_{CTE}(x_l)}{\partial x_l}\right)^2 \cdot u^2(x_l)$$

$$Variables: \ \{x_l\} = \{\Delta CTE; CTE_L\}$$

$$u_c^2(g_{CTE}(T)) = \kappa^2 \cdot u^2(\Delta CTE) + 1 \cdot u^2(CTE_L)$$

$$Assumption: \ |T_L| \cong |T_R|$$

$$u^2(\Delta CTE) \cong u^2(CTE_L) \cong u^2(CTE_R)$$

$$u_c^2(g_{CTE}(T)) \approx (\kappa^2 + 1) \cdot u^2(CTE(T_L))$$

$$u_c^2(g_{CTE}(T)) \le 2 \cdot u^2(CTE(T_L))$$







... Push Rod Dilatometry: Conclusions

- 2 Zero-quantities C_j are added
 - ... C_E : equipment related
 - $\ldots C_S$: sample related
- The arithmetic mean ... remains to be the best estimate of the measurement result
- 3 dues to the uncertainty from their causation
 - SSU: sample related effects
 - ESU: equipment related effects
 - MSU: model related effects
- Construction principle $u_c^2(x_i) = ESU^2(x_i) + SSV^2(x_i) + MSU^2(x_i)$
 - SSU: from standard deviation of a series of measurements
 - ESU: from equipment performance parameters <u>including thermocouples</u> ... can casually be neglected – but NOT in case of zero expansion materials
 - MSU: from specifics of the used reference material and K_R(T) therefor
 ... good choice depends strongly on the optimum consilience on transient thermal histories of reference and sample
- SSU, ESU, MSU do NOT scale from the same magnitude



... Dynamic Scanning Calorimetry

$$Y = f(X_1, X_2, ..., X_k)$$

$$y = f(q_1, q_2, ..., q_k)$$

$$u_j^{(5)}(T) = \left[\frac{DSC^{(5)}(T) - DSC^{(B)}(T)}{DSC^{(R)}(T) - DSC^{(B)}(T)} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{m^{(R)}}{m^{(S)}} \cdot c_p^{(R)}(T) \right] = : [DSC(T)] \cdot [f_E(T)]$$

$$\vec{q} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_k$$

$$c_p = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{p,k} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_j; \quad C_j = 0 \forall j$$

$$u(\vec{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot s(q_k) := u(x_i)$$

$$u_i^2(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \cdot u^2(x_i)$$

$$u_i^2(c_p) = ESU^2(c_p) + MSV^2(c_p) + SSU^2(c_p)$$

$$ESU: Equipment Specific Uncertainty$$

$$SU: Sample Specific Uncertainty$$

$$MSU : Model Specific Uncertainty$$

$$MSU^2 |_{C_p} \equiv f_E^2 \cdot \frac{(DSC^{(5)} - DSC^{(b)})!}{(DSC^{(b)} - DSC^{(b)})!} \cdot u^2(DSC^{(b)}) + DSC^2(T) \cdot \left(\frac{m^{(R)}}{m^{(S)}} \right)^2 \cdot u^2(c_p^8)$$



... Dynamic Scanning Calorimetry



T / °C



... Dynamic Scanning Calorimetry: Conclusions

- The arithmetic mean ... remains to be the best estimate of the measurement result
- 3 dues to the uncertainty from their causation
 - SSU: sample related effects
 - ESU: equipment related effects
 - MSU: model related effects
- Construction principle $u_c^2(c_p) = ESU^2(c_p) + SSV^2(c_p) + MSU^2(c_p)$
 - SSU: from standard deviation of a series of sample measurements
 - ESU: from standard deviation of a series of empty measurements
 ... can casually be neglected but performance is mapped to measurements of references and samples
 - MSU: from specifics of the used reference material and $c_p(T)$ therefor ... main contribution to the standard uncertainty $u_c(c_p)$ – because of uncertainty of reference data
 - <u>THUS</u>: the derived uncertainty model does NOT require to add zero-quantities C_i
 - BUT: in more complex models this might be different
- SSU, ESU, MSU do NOT scale from the same magnitude



Summary

Proposal to Reduce GUM's Recommendations to Practice in Standard Methods of Thermophysics

- Using GUM / ENV 13005 Uncertainty Models are constructed for
 - Laser Flash
 - Push Rod Dilatometry
 - Dynamic Scanning Calorimetry
 - Hot Wire Method
 - Heat Flow Meter
 - Comparative Method
- Construction Principle
 - Dues to the uncertainty from their causation are implemented
 - *ESU*: equipment related effects
 - MSU: model related effects
 - *SSU*: sample related effects
 - The arithmetic mean
 - ... Remains to be the best estimate of the measurement result
 - ... Zero-quantities C_i necessary to be added
 - ... $u(C_i)$ are attributed to ESU / MSU
 - Effects from calibrations to be implemented (T-Calib. S-Calib, ...)
- Best Practice Recommendations from Uncertainty Analysis

$$u_c^2(a) = ESU^2(a) + SSV^2(a) + MSU^2(a)$$



Structure of Contributions: Special Issue HTHP To Reduce GUM's Recommendations to Practice in <METHOD>

- Introduction
 - ... deducing < *METHOD* > from basic concepts of thermodynamics
- Experimental Description of < METHOD >
 - ... Experimental Requirements
- Theory to < METHOD >
 - ... including constraints and boundaries
- Uncertainty Concept
 - ... based on Theory to < METHOD >
 - ... referring to calibration needs (e.g. *T*-calibration)
 - FINALLY: dues attributed to: ESU, MSU, SSU
 - ... discussion of the uncertainty concept and conclusions thereof \rightarrow referring to "Examples" (see downwards)
- Hints to "Good Laboratory Practice" for < METHOD > ... derived from uncertainty concept
- Examples
 - Analysis of Measurement Results from a Reference Material
 - Analysis of Measurement Results from a Material
 ...which fits to basic constraints and boundaries of < METHOD >
 - Analysis of Measurement Results from a Material
 ...which does not fully fit to basic constraints and boundaries of < METHOD >
 - Figures should explicitly show ESU, MSU, SSU
- Summary



Contributions

To Reduce GUM's Recommendations to Practice in Standard Methods of Thermophysics

8	Contributions	Special Emphasis to
Hohenauer		
1	Introduction & Concept	Concept to construct uncertainty models
Blumm, Hohenauer, Moukhina		
1	Dilatometry	Equipment specific uncertainty in push rod dilatometry
Hem	berger, Mehling	
1	DSC	Main contribution to combined standard uncertainty in Dynamic Scanning Calorimetry DSC
Moukhina, Blumm,		
1	Laser Flash	Model specific uncertainty of diffusivity data from FLASH DEVICES
Rohde		
1	Thermal Reflectance	Main contribution to combined standard uncertainty in ALTERNATIVE FLASH CONCEPTS
Ebert		
1	Heat Flow Meter	Equipment specific and model specific contributions to standard uncertainty in heat flow meters
1	Hot Wire Method	Equipment specific and model specific contributions to standard uncertainty in hot wire devices
Kasch	nitz	
1	Cmparative Method	Equipment specific and model specific contributions to standard uncertainty in comparative devices